# Characterizing dose/exposure response of biologics: Are we there yet?

**ASCPT 2016 Annual Meeting** 

## **FiercePharma**

#### The top 20 drugs in 2020--worldwide sales

February 22, 2016



## Genesis of this symposium: Our observations

#### **Small molecules**

- Robust phase 2b studies
- Clear evaluation of lowest efficacious dose
- Need to maximize therapeutic window

### Large molecules

- Historically, less robust phase 2b studies
- Cost of goods, not safety, may determine phase 3 doses
- Traditionally, narrower disease focus

Were potential differences in dose/exposure response curves ....

- Truly due to the different moieties?, OR
- Due to the endpoints/diseases biologics have traditionally be used in?, OR
- Due to less rigorous phase 2b trials?, OR
- Due to unique biology that drives non-monotonic responses?

## Today's agenda

Title	Speaker	Rationale
Model-based meta- analysis of clinical dose- response of biologics	Joseph Wu, Pfizer Ltd	Current state of knowledge - literature and internal Pfizer
The confluence of disease, endpoints, pharmacology, modality and their endpoints	Bernd Meibohm, University of Tennessee	"Unpicking" of contributory factors
The challenges of developing a biologic with unclear/non-monotonic dose-response	Lorin Roskos, Medlmmune	Impact of non-monotonicity on drug development
Characterizing Exposure Response of Biologics: Challenges and Opportunities—Regulatory Perspective	Yaning Wang, FDA	Using exposure-response to support registration